

1                                   BEFORE THE  
2                                   ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

3           ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION                   ) DOCKET NO.  
              On Its Own Motion                            )   03-0164  
4                                   -vs-                        )  
              CENTRAL ILLINOIS PUBLIC SERVICE            )  
5           COMPANY    )  
  )  
6           Reconciliation of revenues                    )  
              collected under Coal Tar riders            )  
7           with prudent costs associated with            )  
              coal tar clean up expenditures.            )

8    Springfield, Illinois  
9    January 15, 2004

10                   Met, pursuant to notice, at 9:30 A.M.

11           BEFORE:

12                   MR. JOHN ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge

13           APPEARANCES:

14                   MS. SUSAN B. KNOWLES  
                      1901 Chouteau Avenue  
15                   P.O. Box 66149  
                      MC 1310  
16                   St. Louis, Missouri   63166-6149

17                                   (Appearing on behalf of Central  
                                      Illinois Public Service Company, d/b/a  
18                                   AmerenCIPS)

19  
20  
21                   SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by  
22                   Cheryl A. Davis, Reporter, CSR License #084-001662

1 APPEARANCES:

(Cont'd)

2 MS. LESLIE PUGH  
3 527 East Capitol Avenue  
4 Springfield, Illinois 62701

5 (Appearing on behalf of the Staff of the  
6 Accounting Department, Financial  
7 Analysis Division, Illinois Commerce  
8 Commission)  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

I N D E XWITNESSESDIRECTCROSSREDIRECTRECROSS

(None)

EXHIBITSMARKEDADMITTED

CIPS 1, 2, 3

e-Docket

13

CIPS 4

e-Docket

14

ICC Staff 1

e-Docket

15

PROCEEDINGS

JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket Number 03-0164. This docket was initiated by the Illinois Commerce Commission On Its Own Motion against Central Illinois Public Service Company for the purpose of reconciling revenues collected under coal tar riders with prudent costs associated with coal tar clean up expenditures.

May I have the appearances for the record, please.

MS. KNOWLES: For the Company, Susan Knowles, K-N-O-W-L-E-S, Ameren Services Company, address One Ameren Plaza, 1901 Chouteau Avenue, P.O. Box 66149, mail code 1310, St. Louis, Missouri 63166; e-mail is sknowles@ameren.com and telephone number 314 /554-3183, appearing on behalf of Central Illinois Public Service Company, doing business as AmerenCIPS.

JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.

MS. PUGH: My name is Leslie Pugh. I'm appearing on behalf of the Accounting Department of the Financial Analysis Division of the Illinois

1 Commerce Commission. My business address is 527  
2 East Capitol Avenue, Springfield, Illinois 62701.  
3 My business phone is 217/785-5442.

4 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

5 Let the record reflect that there are no others  
6 wishing to enter an appearance.

7 Are there any preliminary matters this morning?  
8 Hearing none, the purpose of today's hearing is to  
9 admit into evidence those exhibits previously  
10 submitted in this docket. It's my understanding  
11 that both the Company and Staff are in agreement on  
12 all issues in this case. Is that correct?

13 MS. KNOWLES: That's correct.

14 MS. PUGH: Yes.

15 JUDGE ALBERS: There are affidavits for the two  
16 Company witnesses. There's not one for Ms. Pugh,  
17 and I'll go ahead and swear in Ms. Pugh now. Would  
18 you please stand and raise your right hand.

19 (Whereupon the witness was sworn by Judge  
20 Albers.)

21 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Thank you.

22 Why don't we go ahead and start with the

1 Company's filings, Ms. Knowles.

2 MS. KNOWLES: The Company has previously  
3 prepared and filed with affidavits the direct  
4 testimony of Leonard Mans. How would you like to  
5 mark those? Just as AmerenCIPS exhibit?

6 JUDGE ALBERS: I think they were marked -- they  
7 were filed as CIPS Exhibit 1.

8 MS. KNOWLES: All right; CIPS Exhibit 1. The  
9 Direct Testimony of Donald L. Richardson which is  
10 previously marked and filed with affidavit has been  
11 marked as CIPS Exhibit 2, and the Rebuttal Testimony  
12 of Leonard Mans that was filed with affidavit and  
13 previously marked CIPS Exhibit 3. There are  
14 schedules attached to I believe the Mans' testimony  
15 of the direct and the rebuttal as well as the direct  
16 testimony of Donald Richardson. No, I'm sorry.  
17 Donald Richardson did not have an attachment.

18 The Company offers into evidence the testimony  
19 as referenced, Exhibits 1, 2, and 3.

20 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Just so the record is  
21 clear then, we have CIPS Exhibit 1 and what I had  
22 received also had Schedule A and Schedule B attached

1 to that, and then CIPS Exhibit 2 had no schedules,  
2 and then CIPS Exhibit 3 had Schedule 3.1 and 3.2  
3 attached to it. Is that correct?

4 MS. KNOWLES: That is correct.

5 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Any objection?

6 MS. PUGH: No.

7 JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing none, then these  
8 exhibits are admitted.

9 (Whereupon CIPS Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 were  
10 received into evidence.)

11 JUDGE ALBERS: One other thing I would ask of  
12 the Company though, the proof of publication was  
13 submitted on e-Docket. I assume you want that  
14 entered into the record as well?

15 MS. KNOWLES: Yes. Would it be appropriate for  
16 the Company to mark that as CIPS Exhibit 4?

17 JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine.

18 MS. KNOWLES: Okay. Then the Company offers  
19 into evidence CIPS Exhibit 4 which are publication  
20 certificates previously filed with the Commission.

21 JUDGE ALBERS: Is there any objection to that  
22 exhibit?

1 MS. PUGH: No.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Hearing none, then CIPS Exhibit  
3 4 is admitted.

4 (Whereupon CIPS Exhibit 4 was received  
5 into evidence.)

6 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Does the Company  
7 have anything else?

8 MS. KNOWLES: No. That concludes the Company's  
9 case.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Turning to the Staff  
11 then, Ms. Pugh, I previously received your testimony  
12 marked as Staff Exhibit 1, correct?

13 MS. PUGH: Yes.

14 JUDGE ALBERS: And that was submitted on  
15 November 20, 2003, and consists of seven pages as  
16 well as Schedule 1.01 Revised and 1.02?

17 MS. PUGH: Originally my testimony was filed on  
18 November 20th. I refiled the testimony on e-Docket  
19 on December 17th. It's the same testimony except  
20 for Schedule 1.1 that was revised because of a  
21 correction in the column G caption.

22 JUDGE ALBERS: I remember that. Thank you.



1           Okay.

2           MS. PUGH:   And it consists of one title page,  
3           one table of contents, seven pages of written  
4           testimony, and two schedules.

5           JUDGE ALBERS:   Okay.   If asked the same  
6           questions today, would you give the same answers?

7           MS. PUGH:   Yes.

8           JUDGE ALBERS:   And did you have any other  
9           corrections to make to your testimony?

10          MS. PUGH:   No.

11          JUDGE ALBERS:   Okay.   And you intend to have  
12          Staff Exhibit 1 admitted.

13          MS. PUGH:   I'm offering ICC Staff Exhibit 1 for  
14          admittance into the record in this proceeding.

15          JUDGE ALBERS:   Is there any objection?

16          MS. KNOWLES:   No objection.

17          JUDGE ALBERS:   Staff Exhibit 1 and the  
18          corresponding schedules are admitted.

19                       (Whereupon Staff Exhibit 1 was received  
20                       into evidence.)

21          JUDGE ALBERS:   Ms. Pugh, did you have anything  
22          else as far as Staff's case?

1 MS. PUGH: No.

2 JUDGE ALBERS: Okay.

3 Is there anything further to add from either  
4 side with regard to this particular case?

5 MS. KNOWLES: Not from the Company.

6 MS. PUGH: Is Company going to prepare a draft  
7 order or has that been -- we have not discussed  
8 that. I didn't know whether we needed to discuss  
9 that now or not.

10 JUDGE ALBERS: Well, no one has discussed it  
11 with me. I can tell you that.

12 Ms. Knowles, is that something the Company was  
13 planning on doing?

14 MS. KNOWLES: Yes. I'm just looking at the  
15 calendar now.

16 JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, okay.

17 MS. KNOWLES: Leslie, what if we got you a  
18 draft of an order by February 5th? Would that work?

19 MS. PUGH: That's fine. Friday the 6th?

20 MS. KNOWLES: Right.

21 MS. PUGH: That's fine.

22 MS. KNOWLES: And then maybe we shoot to get

1       that filed with the ALJ on February 13th? Does that  
2       work?

3               JUDGE ALBERS: That's fine with me.

4               MS. PUGH: Yes, that should be fine.

5               MS. KNOWLES: Okay. The Company will prepare  
6       that I guess in Word format as well so that you can  
7       edit right into the document, if that would be  
8       helpful.

9               JUDGE ALBERS: I know I would appreciate  
10      getting a copy in Word whenever it's finalized.

11              MS. KNOWLES: Okay.

12              JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. And for whatever reason  
13      it gets done sooner, feel free to file it sooner.

14              MS. KNOWLES: Okay.

15              JUDGE ALBERS: Anything else then?

16              MS. PUGH: I think that's all.

17              JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. If there's nothing  
18      further, then I mark the record Heard and Taken.

19                               HEARD AND TAKEN

20

21

22